



**APPLICATION FOR
U.S. LETTERS PATENT**

BY

MAX McFARLAND

FOR

**SYSTEMS AND METHODS FOR REPLACING OPEN
WINDOWS IN A GRAPHICAL USER INTERFACE**

"Express Mail" mailing label No. **TB 776337397US**

Date of Deposit **MAY 6, 1995**

I hereby certify that this paper or fee is being deposited with the United States Postal Service "Express Mail Post Office to Addressee" service under 37 CFR 1.10 on the date indicated above and is addressed to the Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks, Washington, D.C. 20231.

AMY L. HYLAND

Typed or printed name of person mailing
paper or fee)


(Signature of person mailing paper or fee)

BURNS, DOANE, SWECKER & MATHIS
Post Office Box 1404
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1404
(703) 836-6620

Attorney Docket No. P1630:275

6-11

30/10/1A
08/435375

MAIL ROOM
24 MAY 1995
TRADEMARK

SYSTEMS AND METHODS FOR REPLACING OPEN WINDOWS
A GRAPHICAL USER INTERFACE

BACKGROUND

5 The present invention relates generally to graphical user interfaces for computer systems. More particularly, the present invention relates to methods and systems for replacing open windows which have been sprung to a new display location as controlled by graphical user interfaces.

10 The evolution of the computer industry is arguably unparalleled in its rate of growth and complexity. Personal computers, for example, which began as little more than feeble calculators with limited memory, tape-driven input and monochrome displays are now able to tackle almost any data processing task. While this meteoric increase in power was almost sufficient to satisfy the demand of application programmers and end users alike, the corresponding increase in complexity created an

15 ease-of-use problem which the industry was somewhat slower in solving. Thus, designers were faced with a new challenge: to harness this computing power in a form usable by even those with relatively little computer training to smooth the transition of other industries into a computer-based information paradigm.

20 As a result, in the early to mid-1980's many new I/O philosophies, such as "user friendly", "WYSIWYG" and "menu driven" came to the forefront of the industry. These concepts are particularly applicable to microcomputers, also known as personal computers, which are intended to appeal to a broad audience of computer users, including those who previously feared and mistrusted computers. An important aspect of computers which employ these concepts was, and continues to be, the

25 interface which allows the user to input commands and data and receive results, which is commonly referred to as a graphical user interface (GUI).

One type of GUI is based on a visual metaphor which uses a monitor screen as a work surface called a "desktop" where documents are presented in relocatable regions termed "windows". The user interacts with the computer by, for

30 example, moving objects on the desktop, choosing commands from menus, and manipulating window controls, such as checkboxes and scroll bars. An exemplary desktop screen is reproduced as Figure 1.

2

The success of this type of interface is evident from the number of companies which have emulated the desktop environment. Even successful concepts, however, must continually be improved in order to keep pace with the rapid growth in this industry. The advent of multimedia, especially CD-ROM devices, has provided
5 vast quantities of secondary storage which have been used to provide video capabilities, e.g., live animation and video clips, as regular components of application displays. With these new resources at their disposal, application designers, and others, desire more and more control over the appearance of the display, including the desktop environment and, in particular, objects on the desktop.

10 Windows, filing cabinets, folders and documents are several examples of conventional desktop objects which extend the desktop visual metaphor. Folder windows can be configured to "spring" open when a user holds an item over a container item (e.g., a disk, folder, catalog, mailbox, viewer, server, or trash icon) which has one or more folders therein. Folder windows that are sprung open are
15 temporarily centered under the cursor or as close to this centered position as possible while remaining on one monitor.

Occasionally, the folder windows which are sprung open by the user's actions relative to a container object are already open elsewhere on the desktop. Consider, for example, that a folder entitled "Five" is embedded in a hierarchical tree
20 of folders such that folder "One" holds folder "Two" which holds folder "Three" which holds folder "Four" which holds folder "Five". That is, to open folder "Five", a user would open folders One, Two, Three, Four and Five in that order. This could result, for example, in the cascaded series of open folder windows shown in Figure 2. Note that these folder windows are numbered 1, 2, 3, 4 and 5, respectively, for ease of
25 reference in this text and that the folders and their respective folder windows will both be referred to using the same numbers.

Now suppose that a user springs open a container which happens to include folder 3 therein. As mentioned above, folder windows which are sprung open are drawn centered at the cursor location, if possible. Thus, folder window 3 will be
30 closed at its location in the display space between the cascaded folder windows 2 and 4 and redrawn at a location centered on the cursor. This example is illustrated in

3

Figure 3. Note that the folder windows 1, 2, 4, and 5 are opened in a first portion 10 of the display space 12, but folder window 3 has been sprung open at a second portion 14 of the display space 12 centered about a cursor (not shown). A gap is left between windows 2 and 4 in the position vacated by folder window 3.

5 When the user releases the mouse button, or otherwise completes the drag, only the destination folder and folders that were open before the drag operation began remain open, e.g., folders 1-5 in this example. If folder 3 is not the destination folder, then this window would close at its displayed location in portion 14 of display space 23. Conventionally, folder window 3 would then be redrawn on top of folder
10 window 5 in portion 10 of the display space 12 as seen in Figure 4. However, this is considered to be undesirable since folder 3 now appears out of order with respect to folders 1, 2, 4 and 5 and blocks the view of the title bars of the other folder windows.

15

SUMMARY

These and other problems and drawbacks of conventional systems and methods for replacing windows in graphical user interfaces are overcome according to the present invention. According to exemplary embodiments, open windows which are sprung to a new location on the display space are returned to their original location
20 when the springing operation is concluded. A list or other data structure is created when a springing operation is initiated that identifies open windows on the desktop, their relationship to other windows in their original positions, and an indicator that tracks the status of these windows as sprung or unsprung. When the springing operation is concluded, sprung windows can be returned to their original position using
25 the information found in the list or data structure which was created when the springing operation commenced.

4

BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF THE DRAWINGS

The foregoing, and other, objects, features and advantages of the present invention will be more readily understood by those skilled in the art upon reading the following detailed description in conjunction with the drawings in which:

5 ~~Figure 1~~ shows a conventional desktop screen;

~~Figure 2~~ illustrates an exemplary set of cascaded open windows used to describe exemplary embodiments of the present invention;

~~Figure 3~~ shows the cascaded open windows of Figure 2 lacking one of the windows which has been sprung open to a new location on the display space;

10 ~~Figure 4~~ depicts the result of a conventional technique for returning the sprung window of Figure 3;

~~Figure 5(a)~~ is a block diagram of an exemplary computer system which can be used to implement the present invention;

15 ~~Figure 5(b)~~ is a block diagram illustrating various exemplary functional units of the computer system of Figure 5(a);

~~Figure 6~~ illustrates an exemplary data structure used to capture open window information according to an exemplary embodiment of the present invention;

20 ~~Figure 7~~ is a flowchart describing a technique for returning the sprung window of Figure 3 back to its original position as seen in Figure 2 according to an exemplary embodiment of the present invention; and

~~Figure 8~~ illustrates another case wherein two windows are sprung from their original positions.

DETAILED DESCRIPTION

25 Exemplary embodiments of the present invention will be described in terms of various visual aspects created or drawn in a display space controlled by a graphical user interface. Those skilled in the art will appreciate that such an interface can, for example, be used in conjunction with a computer such as that illustrated in Figure 5(a). Therein, a personal computer is illustrated as having a box 16 which
30 contains, among other elements, circuit boards associated with the computer's functions, a keyboard 18, a pointing device 20 and a monitor 22 having a display

5

space 24. The circuit boards included in box 16 can include boards which accommodate functional units such as those illustrated by the block diagram of Figure 5(b). Therein RAM 30, ROM 32, processor 34, and I/O 36 are all interconnected via system bus 38.

5 Of course the personal computer illustrated in Figures 5(a) and 5(b) is purely exemplary of one type of computer system in which graphical user interfaces according to the present invention may be implemented. For example, although the display space 24 of the system depicted in Figure 5(a) consists of that provided by monitor 22, those skilled in the art will recognize that additional display devices could
10 be arranged proximate monitor 22 to create a display space bridging several monitors. Moreover, although the present invention is described herein by way of exemplary, illustrative embodiments, some of which refer to graphical user interfaces implemented using the Macintosh® computer system as a reference for explaining the present invention, those those skilled in the art will readily appreciate that systems
15 and methods according to the present invention can be applied to any type of display system having a user interface. Those wishing additional information with respect to the Macintosh® system are referred to Inside Macintosh, Vols. 1-6.

Returning now to the discussion of spring loaded folders, according to exemplary embodiments of the present invention windows which were open at the
20 beginning of a springing operation be returned to their original location at the end of the operation. In terms of the foregoing example, window folder 3 will be returned to its original position relative to folders 1, 2, 4 and 5 (as shown in Figure 2) in the portion 10 of the display space 12 rather than returning to portion 10 of the display space 12 as the front window (as shown in Figure 4). Exemplary techniques for
25 accomplishing this result will now be described with respect to Figures 6 and 7.

When a drag is initialized, a data structure is created which stores certain information relating to windows which are open in the display space at that point in time. Although the following text refers to this data structure as a "list", those skilled in the art will readily appreciate that any data structure capable of holding such
30 information could be used for this purpose, e.g., a database, an array, etc. A list 60 used to capture information relating to the example provided in Figures 2 and 3 is

6

illustrated as Figure 6. Therein each of the folder windows 1-5 is identified in the list 60 using a "Window ID" field. Of course the actual numerical value of this field will vary depending upon the system implementation, but will suffice to identify the open window and its original location in display space 12. The order of the open windows can be established in the list 60 by, for example, listing these windows in front-to-back order. A location indicator is also associated with each open window to keep track of its current status, i.e., sprung or unsprung. Since folder windows 1-5 are all unsprung at the time of drag initialization, each of these indicators is set to a Boolean value indicating that they are unsprung, depicted as an "N" in Figure 6.

When folder window 3 is sprung to the portion 14 of the display space illustrated in Figure 3, the list 60 of Figure 6 changes to reflect the springing of folder window 3 by changing the location indicator value to the Boolean value associated with a sprung, rather than an original, position e.g., the letter "Y" for Figure 6. When the user ends the drag operation, and assuming that folder window 3 is to be closed in display space portion 14, folder window 3 will be returned to its original position among the cascaded, open windows 1, 2, 4 and 5 using the information in the list 60 at that time. This operation can be performed as illustrated in the exemplary flowchart of Figure 7.

Initially, the process begins by examining the window furthest to the back as rendered on the display space, e.g., WindowID 1 of list 60, at block 70. The WindowID of this window is compared, at decision block 72, with the WindowID of the window to be returned, in this case folder window 3. Until a match is found (block 72) or the end of the list is reached (block 74), the process iterates through each WindowID on the list from back to front by incrementing a list pointer after each set of decisions (at block 76) and branching back to the top of the loop. If the end of the list is reached without a match ("Yes" at block 74), then the process ends and the window to be returned is not redrawn in this portion of the display space. This can occur, for example, when a window which was not open at the time that the springing operation began is processed according to the present invention.

For the purposes of this example, however, a match will occur on the third iteration when the current WindowID has been incremented to three. Then the

7

flow proceeds to block 78 where the next WindowID in the list 60 is examined to determine, at block 80, if folder window 4 has been sprung by checking the status of the location indicator field of list 60. In this example, as seen in Figure 3, folder window 4 has not been sprung so the flow proceeds to block 82 where folder window 3 is redrawn behind window folder 4, i.e., such that the configuration seen in Figure 2 is restored.

Consider now the case seen in Figure 8. Therein, folder window 4 has also been sprung and is shown beneath the sprung version of folder window 3 in display space portion 14. Revisiting decision block 80 of Figure 7, for the case depicted in Figure 8, the flow would proceed via the "Yes" path to decision block 84 since folder window 4 has been sprung and is not in its original position in display space portion 10 as would be indicated by an appropriate location identifier value for WindowID 4 in list 60. If folder window 4 was at the end of the list 60, i.e., the frontmost window in the list, then the flow would proceed to block 86 where folder window three would be drawn as the frontmost window. However, this example includes window 5 in list 60 so the flow instead loops back to block 78 where window 5 is now examined. Since window 5 has not been sprung, window 3 is placed behind window 5 such that the window order from front to back in display space portion 10 will now be 5, 3, 2 and 1. Note that by determining whether a window has been sprung prior to returning another window, the situation is avoided where, for example, window folder 3 is redrawn behind folder window 4 in display space portion 14 rather than among the cascaded open windows in display space portion 10.

The above-described exemplary embodiments are intended to be illustrative in all respects, rather than restrictive, of the present invention. For example, the present invention is described herein by way of exemplary, illustrative embodiments, some of which refer to graphical user interfaces implemented using the Macintosh® computer system as a reference for explaining the present invention. However, those skilled in the art will readily appreciate that systems and methods according to the present invention can be applied to any type of display system having a user interface. Thus the present invention is capable of many variations in detailed implementation that can be derived from the description contained herein by a person

8

skilled in the art. All such variations and modifications are considered to be within the scope and spirit of the present invention as defined by the following claims.